RCT of Kangaroo Mother Care for improving the growth outcomes at 40 weeks of gestational age in VLBW infants

Dr. Sunil G. DNB/DNB / Dr. Srinivas Murki, MD,DNB,DM Dr. Sreeram Subramanian, MD, DM / Dr. Pramod G, MD Department of Neonatology

FERNANDEZ H O S P I T A L

Health Care for Women & Newborn Hyderabad, India Website : www,fernandezhospital.com

"K M C"

Skin to skin contact

Exclusive breast feeding

Early discharge

Introduction

KMC is an effective and low cost intervention

- Thermoregulation
- Breastfeeding
- Lower infections
- -Growth
- Developmental supportive care and
- Early discharges

Introduction

- Review and metanalysis
 - KMC is an effective alternative to
 Conventional care in LBW infants
 - Some quote better growth and breast feeding rates
- Not a routine in all settings
- Safety, Efficacy and Acceptability in VLBW not well studied

Study Objective

To study the effect of Kangaroo mother care (KMC) in the KMC ward in comparison with conventional method of care (CMC) in the NICU on growth and breast feeding in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants at 40 weeks of gestational age

Material and Methods

- Study Design: Randomized controlled trial
- Setting: Level III NICU of a teaching institution in south India
- Study Period : April 2009 to February 2011

Material and Methods

- Subject : 140 infants with birth weight <1500 g
- Effect size of 5 g/day weight gain post randomization
- Standard deviation of 10g/day for the total population (from a previous published study), the standardized effect size is 0.5
- Alpha error of 0.05 and power of 80%
- 70 neonates in each group (attrition of 10%
- Intervention: The subjects were randomized into the KMC (n – 71) and CMC groups (n – 69)

Eligibility

Inclusion criteria :

- Inborn singleton, VLBW (birth weight <1500gms) infants
- Tolerating spoon feeds of 150ml/kg/day
- Hemodynamically stable (not on oxygen or respiratory support, no apnea for 72 hrs, not on any intravenous fluids)

Eligibility

Exclusion criteria :

Major malformations and consent not given

by the parents

Randomization

- Random numbers generated using a web based random number generator
- Serially numbered, sealed, opaque envelope
- Opened after taking informed consent by the research coordinator
- Approved by the institutional Ethics Committee
- CTRI/2012/04/002599

Intervention

KMC	CMC
KMC ward/trained nurse	NICU
Skin to skin contact	Servo mode incubator
Minimum 8 hrs	Mothers doing baby care
Adlib feeding	Adlib feed



KMC Ward



KMC Lounge



KMC

KMC binder/wrap



Dress baby in cap, gloves and socks and nappy





Keep the baby in KMC position, even when sleeping



KMC during routine work



Feeding

- EBM with paladai at 2 hourly intervals
- Preterm formula was used when no EBM available
- Supplements were used as per the unit protocol
- Human milk fortifier in case of poor weight gain (weight gain < 10 g/day for 3 consecutive days)

Discharge Criteria

KMC	CMC
<u>></u> 1300grams	≥1300 g
Weight gain ≥10gm/day on 3 consecutive days if weight at randomization was >1300gms	Weight gain of ≥ 10 g/day for 3 consecutive days if weight at randomization was >1300gms
Mother/guardian confident	Euthermic

Outcome assessment at 40 weeks

Primary outcome

- Weight with electronic weighing machine (sensitivity 5gm)
- 2. Head circumference with a non-stretchable tape
- 3. Length with an infantometer to the nearest 0.1 cm

Secondary outcome

- 1. Type of feeding (exclusive or partial breastfeeding and no breastfeeding
- 2. Weight gain from randomization to discharge
- 3. Weight gain per day after randomization till 40 weeks

*Weekly follow-up till the gestational age of 40 weeks

Statistics

- For discrete variables Chi-square or Fisher's exact test
- Continuous variables Student's t test or nonparametric tests, when appropriate
- Intention to treat analysis was done





Study flow chart

Baseline Characteristics

Variable	KMC (N-71) n (%)	CMC (N-69) n (%)	P value
GA (Wks) (mean \pm SD)	30.8 <u>+</u> 2.1	30.7 <u>+</u> 2.1	0.82
Birth wt (g) (mean±SD)	1170 <u>+</u> 191	1198 <u>+</u> 194	0.38
Male sex	39 (54.9)	39 (56.5)	0.59
IUGR	21 (29.6)	22 (31.9)	0.85
Caserean delivery	66 (93)	55 (79.7)	0.02
Mothers Education (graduate)	41 (57.7)	40 (57.9)	0.93

Baseline Characteristics

Variable	KMC (N-71) n (%)	CMC (N-69) n (%)	P value	
Morbidities				
Respiratory distress syndrome	26 (36.6)	30 (43.5)	0.49	
PDA	13 (18.3)	13 (18.8)	0.39	
Sepsis	13 (18.3)	11 (15.9)	0.82	
NEC	8 (11.3)	4 (5.8)	0.36	
Apnea	18 (25.5)	21 (30.4)	0.57	

Nutritional Data

Variable	KMC (n-71)	CMC (n-69)	P value
Time To Full Feed (Days) (mean ± SD)	9.7 <u>+</u> 6.4	11 <u>+</u> 8.1	0.29
Total parenteral nutrition n(%)	15 (21.1)	13 (18.8)	0.73
Human milk fortifier n(%)	5 (7)	7 (10.1)	0.51
Time to regain Birth Weight (Days) (mean \pm SD)	12.3 <u>+</u> 6.1	11.9 <u>+</u> 5	0.67
Wt. at randomisation (g) (mean \pm SD)	1191 <u>+</u> 131	1223 <u>+</u> 125	0.13

Post Randomization Morbidities

Variable	KMC (n-71)	CMC (n-69)	P value
Sepsis	2 (2.8)	2 (2.8)	0.63
Hypothermia	1 (1.4)	0	0.98
Apnea	0	2 (2.8)	0.46
Hypoglycemia	0	1 (1.4)	0.98

Figure in the brackets are percentages

Randomization to Hospital Discharge Weight Gain (gms/kg/day)



P value-0.12

Intensive Care Stay (days)



Intensive care stay was 14 days in KMC group Vs. 26 days in CMC group

Outcome variables at 40 wks gestation

Variable	KMC (n-68)	CMC (n-68)	P value
Weight (gms) (mean \pm SD)	2449 <u>+</u> 456	2532 <u>+</u> 487	0.30
Length (cm) (mean \pm SD)	46.5 <u>+</u> 2.6	47.4 <u>+</u> 3.1	0.08
Head circumference (cm) (mean \pm SD)	33 <u>+</u> 1.3	33.3 <u>+</u> 1.6	0.21
Breast Feeding rate	61 (85.9)	60 (87)	0.68
Wt gain post randomization (g/kg/day) (mean ± SD) till 40 wks	23.3 <u>+</u> 8.7	22.6 <u>+</u> 9.1	0.67

Breast Feeding Rate at Term Gestation



Implication for Clinical Practice

- KMC is an effective and safe alternative to conventional care in the management of stable VLBW infants
- KMC care in place of conventional care for stable VLBW infants decreases intensive care stay and hospital cost

In affluence,

KMC is a useful addition to infant care.

In financial constraints, it is a precious gift.

In poverty,

it may be the only means of survival.

References

- Kumar V, Shearer JC, Kumar A, Darmstadt GL: Neonatal hypothermia in low resource settings: a review. *J Perinatol* 2009, 29(6):401-412.
- 2. Mullany LC, Katz J, Khatry SK, LeClerq SC, Darmstadt GL, Tielsch JM: Risk of mortality associated with neonatal hypothermia in Southern Nepal. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2010, 164(7):650-656.
- 3. Sodemann M, Nielsen J, Veirum J, Jakobsen MS, Biai S, Aaby P: Hypothermia of newborns is associated with excess mortality in the first 2 months of life in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. Trop Med Int Health 2008, 13(8):980-986.
- 4. World Health Organization: Essential Newborn Care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997

References

- 5. Temperature regulation. In: Care of the newborn. Singh M. 5th edition. New Delhi: Sagar Publications; 2000. p. 190-197.
- 6. Suman RP, Udani R, Nanavati R. Kangaroo mother care for low birth weight infants: a randomized controlled trial. Indian Pediatrics 2008;45(1):17–23
- 7. Conde-Agudelo A, Belizán JM, Diaz-Rossello J. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity and mortality in low birthweight infants. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue (3): CD002771
- 8. Oommen A,Vasta M,Paul VK,Agrawal R. Breastfeeding practices of urban and rural mothers. Indian Pediatric 2009; 46(10):891-4

