The OMWaNA Study: Operationalising kangaroo Mother care among unstable low birth Weight Neonates in Africa: a randomised controlled trial to examine impact on mortality in Uganda

Melissa C. Morgan, MD MSc, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA; London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK Harriet Nambuya, MBChB MMed, Jinja Regional Referral Hospital, Jinja, Uganda Peter Waiswa,PhD MPH MBChB, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda Cally Tann, PhD MSc MBChB DTM&H, University College London, London, UK Diana Elbourne, PhD MSc, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK Elizabeth Allen,PhD MA MSc, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

# Background

- Each year, 15 million babies are born preterm and 1 million deaths occur as a direct result of complications<sup>1-3</sup>
- KMC is associated with decreased mortality, sepsis, hypothermia, and length of stay among *stable* infants<sup>4,5</sup>
- WHO recommends KMC for 'routine care of newborns weighing ≤2000 grams... initiated as soon as newborns are clinically stable'<sup>6</sup>
- Only RCT of KMC in unstable infants reported major mortality impact<sup>7</sup>, but methodological issues were present<sup>7,8</sup>
- A well-designed RCT, which includes clear criteria of "stability" is warranted to examine effect on mortality<sup>9,10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lawn 2014; <sup>2</sup>Blencowe 2012; <sup>3</sup>Lawn 2013; <sup>4</sup>Conde-Agudelo 2014; <sup>5</sup>Boundy 2016; <sup>6</sup>WHO 2015; <sup>7</sup>Worku 2005; <sup>8</sup>Aluvaala 2014; <sup>9</sup>English 2016; <sup>10</sup>Chan 2016

## Neonatal mortality in Uganda



WHO-UNICEF Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group<sup>11</sup>

# Study Site: Jinja Regional Referral Hospital (JRRH), Uganda

- In 2006, Uganda established newborn steering committee, which advised KMC scale-up in facilities<sup>12</sup>
- Jinja Hospital:
  - Catchment area of 4 million
  - ✤ ~6,600 deliveries annually
  - Newborn unit has IV fluids, NG tubes, oxygen, pulse oximetry, CPAP, phototherapy, antibiotics, aminophylline, and phenobarbital
  - MC for stable infants
  - Incubators for small/preterm infants



www.travellerspoint.com/guide/Uganda

# Aims

- Solution Aim 1: To evaluate the feasibility of a RCT of KMC for clinically unstable infants weighing ≤2000 grams admitted to JRRH.
- Solution Aim 2: To evaluate the acceptability of KMC for clinically unstable infants weighing ≤2000 grams to parents and providers at JRRH.

# Feasibility Study Methods

## Part 1: Audit of Admissions

- Research Assistant completed data collection form on convenience sample of infants admitted between June 2015 and June 2016
- Calculated number meeting proposed eligibility criteria per year

## **Part 2:** Feasibility of KMC for unstable infants

- Enrolled purposive sample of infants admitted between July and October 2016 and meeting proposed trial eligibility criteria
- Source Counselled mothers/family to provide KMC as close to continuously as possible, goal ≥18 hours per day
- Continuous monitoring of oxygen saturation and heart rate
- Calculated duration of KMC per day (defined as skin-to-skin contact) and number of interventions delivered per day
- Ethical approval obtained from LSHTM, Makerere University, and Uganda National Council of Science & Technology (UNCST)

# Proposed Enrolment Criteria

## Born alive at JRRH

- Solution Birthweight  $\geq$ 700 grams and  $\leq$ 2000 grams
- Chronological age <48 hours</p>
- Solution No congenital anomalies or severe medical problems
- Solution Clinically unstable: defined as requiring ≥2 of the following therapies within 48 hours of birth:
  - Oxygen
  - CPAP
  - IV fluids

- Aminophylline
- Anti-convulsants
- Phototherapy

- Antibiotics
- Mother able and willing to participate in KMC

# Acceptability Study Methods

#### Semi-structured interviews

Purposive sample of key stakeholders, which included parents and providers (doctors and nurses/midwives) in newborn unit

#### Areas explored included:

- Parent/provider-related factors
- Infant-related factors
- Facility-related factors
- Trial participation/randomisation
- Data analysed using thematic content approach
- Ethical approval obtained from LSHTM, Makerere University, and UNCST

# Newborn Audit Flowchart



# Characteristics of Infants in Admissions Audit

Characteristics (N = 276)	Number	Percent			
Birth weight					
Low (1500-2000 g)	165	60%			
Very low (1000-1500 g)	93	34%			
Extremely low (700-1000 g)	18	6%			
Gender					
Female	150	55%			
Clinical instability criteria					
Zero	8	3%			
One	16	6%			
Two	148	58%			
Three	59	23%			
Four or more	24	10%			

# Characteristics of infants in part 2 of feasibility study

Characteristics (N = 9)	Mean (SD)	Range
Birthweight (grams)	1319 (389)	700-1800
Gestational age (weeks)	29 (3.5)	26-35
Length of hospitalisation (days)	10.3 (4.7)	3-19
	Number	Percent
Female	7	78
Discharged	7	70
Dischargeu	/	10

## Number of concurrent interventions and KMC duration per day

Day of	Mean (SD) no.	Range	Mean (SD) KMC	Range
Life	of interventions		duration (min)	
1 (n=9)	4 (0.7)	3-5	164 (173)	60-838
2 (n=9)	3.9 (0.9)	2-5	113 (49)	53-253
3 (n=9)	4 (1.0)	2-5	125 (74)	46-362
4 (n=8)	3.9 (1.0)	2-5	153 (68)	30-318
5 (n=8)	3.9 (1.0)	2-5	146 (140)	20-797
6 (n=8)	3.8 (1.0)	2-5	130 (49)	44-248
7 (n=8)	3.5 (1.2)	1-5	144 (56)	65-260
8 (n=8)	3.5 (1.4)	1-5	139 (57)	56-254
9 (n=7)	3.3 (1.4)	1-5	108 (35)	44-170
10 (n=3)	4 (1.0)	3-5	112 (59)	42-256
11 (n=3)	4 (1.0)	3-5	123 (57)	38-262
12 (n=3)	3.7 (1.2)	3-5	133 (62)	46-276
13 (n=3)	3.7 (1.2)	3-5	130 (50)	59-200
14 (n=3)	3.7 (1.2)	3-5	131 (63)	37-228

# Acceptability Study Results

- Majority of parents and providers felt KMC could be used in infants concurrently receiving other therapies
- A few mothers expressed concern that doing KMC while receiving oxygen/IV fluids could be painful for the baby
  - "I want to be close to him, and I feel like those tubes would hurt him as we are doing KMC."
- All providers and the majority of parents felt KMC could be used in the first 48 hours after birth
  - "I think it's a good idea because that skin-to-skin contact will stabilise the baby's temperature faster than an incubator."
- Perceived challenges included lack of privacy, beds, education, family support, and staff/resources to monitor infants, and difficulty motivating mothers to devote time to KMC
- Staff and peer counselling was the most recommended solution among parents and providers

# Summary

- Audit showed that 403 infants meeting proposed trial eligibility criteria are admitted each year
- Mean KMC duration was ~2 to 2.5 hours per day, with most infants receiving 3-4 concurrent medical therapies as well as continuous monitoring
- Majority of parents and providers agree with use of KMC in infants receiving other therapies during the first 48 hours after birth
- Solution KMC practice could be improved through staff and peer counselling, more beds/space, and improved availability of devices to help monitor infants in the KMC position

# Next steps: planned RCT

- Solution Strain Str
- Individually randomised, controlled superiority trial with two parallel groups
- Intervention group will receive KMC in addition to conventional therapies
  - Solution KMC will be initiated as soon as infants meet eligibility criteria and within 1 hour of randomisation
  - The Parents will be encouraged to provide KMC  $\geq$ 18h/day
  - Mothers or family members/friends may provide KMC
- Control group will receive conventional therapies but incubator care will replace KMC

# Discussion

- Thoughts on proposed clinical instability criteria
- Other approaches to promote near-continuous KMC in the intervention arm
- Other comments or questions

# Acknowledgments

## <u>Jinja Hospital</u>:

- Dr. Harriet Nambuya
- Samuel Atepo
- Vanessa Akinyange
- Justine Inhensiko
- Newborn unit staff
- Parents of enrolled infants

### Makerere University:

- Dr. Peter Waiswa
- Victoria Kyobutungi

## Oxford University:

• Dr. Mike English

## LSHTM:

- Dr. Joy Lawn
- Dr. Elizabeth Allen
- Dr. Diana Elbourne
- Dr. Cally Tann
- Dr. Janet Seeley

## <u>UCSF</u>:

- Dr. George Rutherford
- Dr. David Rowitch (Cambridge)

## Funding:

- UCSF Preterm Birth Initiative
- Thrasher Research Fund

# References

- 1. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet 2014;384:189–205.
- 2. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. Lancet 2012;379:2162–72.
- 3. Lawn JE, Davidge R, Paul VK, et al. Born Too Soon: Care for the preterm baby. Reprod Health 2013;10(Suppl 1):S5.
- 4. Conde-Agudelo A, Diaz-Rossello J. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity and mortality in low birthweight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;4:Art. No.: CD002771.
- 5. Boundy EO, Dastjerdi R, Spiegelman D, Wafaie W. Kangaroo Mother Care and Neonatal Outcomes: a Meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2016;137(1): e20152238.
- 6. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on interventions to improve preterm birth outcomes. Geneva; 2015.
- 7. Worku B, Kassie A. Kangaroo Mother Care: A Randomized Controlled Trial on Effectiveness of Early Kangaroo Mother Care for the Low Birthweight Infants in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. J Trop Pediatr 2005;51(2):93–7.

# References Continued

- 8. Aluvaala J, Opiyo N, English M. Effect of kangaroo mother care on mortality of low birth weight infants in low resource settings; certainty of evidence in the most vulnerable. Nairobi: KEMRI Wellcome Trust Research Programme; 2014.
- 9. English M, Karumbi J, Maina M, et al. The need for pragmatic clinical trials in low and middle income settings taking essential neonatal interventions delivered as part of inpatient care as an illustrative example. BMC Med 2016;14(1):5.
- 10. Chan GJ, Valsangkar B, Kajeepeta S, et al. What is kangaroo mother care? Systematic review of the literature. J Glob Health 2016;6(1):10701.
- 11. WHO-UNICEF Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group. Uganda: neonatal and child health profile. Geneva; 2014. Available from: www.who.int/maternal\_

child\_adolescent/epidemiology/profiles/neonatal\_child/uga.pdf

12. Mbonye AK, Sentongo M, Mukasa GK, et al. Newborn survival in Uganda
: a decade of change and future implications. Health Policy Plan 2012;27:104–17.